* 15; 11		
./. .i		
O	1 2 3 4	By: Anne P. Stevason, SBN 089320 320 W. 4 th Street, Suite 430 Los Angeles, CA 90013
	5	Attorney for the Labor Commissioner
	7 . 8 .9	BEFORE THE STATE LABOR COMMISSIONER OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	10	AGENCY FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS,) CASE NO. TAC 18-06
	12 13	Petitioner, v. v.
\bigcirc	•14 15	SHAWNEE SMITH and RED HEADED) WOMAN, INC.,)
	16 17 18	Respondents.)
	19	INTRODUCTION
	20	By its Petition to Determine Controversy, filed pursuant to Labor Code Section 1700.44,
	21	petitioner AGENCY FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS, INC. ("APA" or "Petitioner") alleges that
	22	respondent SHAWNEE SMITH and RED HEADED WOMAN, INC. ("Smith" or "Respondent")
	23	failed to pay commissions due to it under their oral Agency Agreement and seeks an award of
	24	\$10,000 for the commissions due on the bonus compensation received by Smith on the film "Saw
	25	
	26 27	A Hearing on the Petition was held on January 25, 2007 in Los Angeles, California, before the undersigned attorney specially designated to hear this matter. Petitioner was represented by
\bigcirc	28	the undersigned attorney specially designated to hear this matter. Petitioner was represented by Paul Santana, an agent at APA authorized to represent it. Respondent represented herself, in pro
		- 1 -

DETERMINATION OF CONTROVERSY

•

per. Appearing as a witness for Respondent was Brian Wilkins. 1

Due consideration having been given to the testimony, documentary evidence, and arguments submitted by the parties, the Labor Commissioner now renders the following decision:

FINDINGS OF FACT

6 1. The parties stipulated that APA is a licensed talent agency and was licensed at all relevant times during their relationship. It was also stipulated that Smith is an artist within the meaning of the Act.

9 2. On or about May 1, 2003, Smith entered into an oral talent agency agreement with 10 Pam Wagner, an employee of APA, who had contracted to work exclusively for APA. Smith 11 testified that the contract was entered into at a restaurant and the only people present were herself and Pam Wagner. She testified that Wagner had courted her and proposed that if Smith was not 12 13 satisfied with her services that Smith was free not to pay Wagner her 10% commission. Smith refused to sign a written agreement due to an unsatisfactory experience with talent agents in the 14 past, but agreed to have Wagner represent her based on the terms that Wagner had proposed. 15

3. 16 Smith worked her first job through Wagner in May 2004 and paid a 10% 17 commission.

18 4. In 2005, Smith was asked to reprise her role as Amanda in the motion picture "Saw 19 II." Smith and her attorney negotiated the contract for "Saw II." The terms of the contract 20 provided that Smith would be paid \$150,000 as compensation for her services on "Saw II." It also 21 provided that Smith would be paid an additional \$100,000 if the movie grossed in excess of \$50 22 million. Smith was paid the \$150,000 through APA, who retained a 10% commission on the 23 payment. Later, after APA terminated the employment of Pam Wagner, Smith received the 24 \$100,000 bonus. Smith did not pay APA 10%, or \$10,000, on the \$100,000 bonus and the 25 commission is the subject of the current Petition. Smith maintained that since APA had nothing to 26 do with procuring her employment on Saw II, had not notified her that Wagner had been fired and 27 had no contacts with any other agents at APA, that she was using the discretion granted her under

28

2

3

4

5

7

8

1 the oral agency agreement to not pay the commission on the bonus.

2 5. Petitioner's representative admitted that he was not present at the time that the 3 oral agreement was entered into. However, he argued that he was aware of the terms of the 4 agreement due to the Booking Report and the Payment History which he said indicated that it was 5 the usual artist-agency agreement under which APA was to receive 10% of the artist's 6 compensation. Petitioner submitted the Booking Report, dated May 2, 2005 for Shawnee Smith for 7 the project Saw 2 as Exhibit 2. The Payment History for Smith was submitted as Exhibit 4 and 8 shows payments made to APA for Saw 2 and indicate that APA had been paid 10% on the original 9 \$150,000 of compensation. However, the fact that APA was paid a commission for Saw 2 in part 10 does not contradict Smith's testimony that the terms of the oral agreement gave Smith the discretion 11 to pay or not pay based on her level of satisfaction with her representation.

Brian Wilkins testified on behalf of Smith and stated that he had a phone
conversation with Wagner, at which time Wagner described the arrangement that she had with
Smith. Wagner stated that she wanted to prove herself to Smith and therefore, she was not
requiring her to sign a written contract and told Smith that she does not have to pay Wagner unless
she felt good about her representation.

By letter dated July 18, 2005, Smith terminated her agency relationship with APA
due to the fact that Pam Wagner's employment had been terminated.

LEGAL ANALYSIS

As stated above, Smith is an "artist" within the meaning of Labor Code Section
1700.4(b) and Petitioner is a licensed talent agent.

22

2.

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

Petitioner has the burden of proving that it is entitled to commissions.

"The burden of proof is found at Evidence Code § 115 which states, '[e]xcept as otherwise provided by law, the burden of proof requires proof by preponderance of the evidence.' Further, *McCoy v. Board of Retirement of the County of Los Angeles Employees Retirement Assn.* (1986) 183 Cal.App.3d 1044 at 1051 states, 'the party asserting the affirmative at an administrative hearing has the burden of proof, including both the initial burden of going forward and the burden of persuasion by preponderance of the evidence.' (Cite omitted) 'Preponderance of the evidence' standard of proof requires the trier of fact to believe that the existence of a fact is more probably than its nonexistence." In re Michael G., 63 Cal.App.4th 700, 74 Cal.Rptr.2d 642. See also Robi v. Wolf, TAC 29-00 at pp. 6-7 and Behr v. Dauer, TAC 21-00 at pp. 8-9.

3 pp. 6-7 and *Behr*

1

2

3. 4 Petitioner has two hurdles to overcome. First of all, the agreement was verbal and 5 the only parties present were Smith and Wagner. As such, Smith was the only person at the hearing б who could competently testify as to the terms of the agreement. Secondly, under Title 8, California Code of Regulations, Section 12002, Petitioner is only entitled to commissions under an "oral 7 8 contract" where the commission sought to be charged is procured directly through the efforts or 9 services of the talent agency and is confirmed in writing within 72 hours thereafter." The Labor 10 Commissioner has discretion to determine whether an oral contract will be void under this 11 provision. In this case, the only evidence presented indicated that Smith and her attorney and not 12 APA, procured and negotiated the agreement for her services on Saw 2. Smith was reprising her 13 role from the earlier movie Saw, which occurred prior to any agency relationship with APA and, although APA was copied with the contract, there is no evidence that it had anything to do with 14 negotiating the contract. Petitioner has failed to meet it burden. 15

ORDER

For the reasons set forth above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

Petitioner is not entitled to any further commissions from Smith.

ANNE STEVASON Special Hearing Officer

23 Adopted:

24 Dated: April 27, 2007 25

Dated: April 25, 2007

26 27

28

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Acting State Labor Commissioner

- 4 -

DETERMINATION OF CONTROVERSY